




Audit Committee Update for Plymouth City Council

Year ended 31 March 2015

Committee Date: 26 March 2015

John Golding
Partner and Engagement Lead
T 0117 305 7802
E john.golding@uk.gt.com

David Bray
Senior Audit Manager
T 07880 456 126
E david.bray@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at 13 March 2015	5
Emerging issues and developments	
Accounting and audit issues	7
Grant Thornton	13

Introduction

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. The paper also includes:

- a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a Unitary Council
- a number of questions in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grant-thornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications referred to in these updates.

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Progress at 13 March 2015

Work	Due By	Complete	Comments
<p>2014-15 Audit Fee</p> <p>External Audit fees are determined by the Audit Commission after a period of consultation with the audited bodies.</p>	28 February 2015	Yes	<p>In 2013/14, the Audit Commission increased the audit fee for all Unitary Councils by £1,070 to reflect the fact additional audit work was required on material business rates balances.</p> <p>This additional work was necessary as auditors were no longer required to carry out work to certify NNDR3 returns. The additional fee was 50% of the average fee previously charged for NNDR3 certifications for Unitary Councils.</p> <p>The Audit Commission has included this additional amount in all audit fees for 2014/15. The audit fee is now £182,498 for Plymouth City Council .</p> <p>The indicative certification fee for 2014/15 has been set at £17,720.</p>
<p>2014-15 Accounts Audit Plan</p> <p>We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2014-15 financial statements.</p>	March 2015	Yes	<p>Our audit plan is a separate item on the agenda of the Audit Committee on 26 March 2015.</p>
<p>Interim accounts audit</p> <p>Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updating our review of the Council's control environment • updating our understanding of financial systems • review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems • early work on emerging accounting issues • early substantive testing • Value for Money conclusion initial risk assessment. 	March 2015	Yes	<p>The findings of our interim audit are summarised in our audit plan.</p>

Progress at 13 March 2015

Work	Planned date	Complete?	Comments
<p>2014-15 final accounts audit</p> <p>Including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • audit of the 2014-15 financial statements • proposed opinion on the Council's accounts • proposed Value for Money conclusion. 	30 September 2015	Not yet due	We are planning to commence our fieldwork on 15 June 2015 and aim to have this completed by the end of July.
<p>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion</p> <p>The work to inform the 2014/15 VfM conclusion assesses the Council's arrangements for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • securing financial resilience • challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness 	30 September 2015	Not yet due	Our initial risk assessment has been undertaken and further information on the work to underpin our VFM conclusion is included within our audit plan.
<p>2014-15 certification work</p> <p>This work is expected to cover:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing benefits 	30 November 2015	No	We plan to make an early start on our certification work in 2014/15.
<p>Other activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We facilitated a follow-up seminar in Plymouth on 5 January 2015 covering accounting for schools and transport infrastructure assets • We meet with your finance staff on a monthly basis to discuss emerging issues and the implications for our financial statements audit. • The Council attended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - one of our annual financial statements workshops which we run in conjunction with CIPFA. - our Better Care Fund seminar on 20 January - our PFI seminar on 27 February • We met with officers from Plymouth City Council and NEW Devon CCG on 21 January to discuss the joint working arrangements 			

Accounting for schools – Code update and LAAP Bulletin 101

Accounting and audit issues

The debate about which school land and buildings should be recognised on local authority balance sheet (which most commentators had thought settled) has been reignited. Grant Thornton is taking a leading role in trying to resolve this unexpected development.

Non-current assets

In December 2014, CIPFA issued an Update to Appendix E of the 2014/15 Code which states *"The recognition of non-current assets used by schools shall be determined in accordance with the relevant standards adopted by Chapter Four Non-Current Assets of this Code as appropriate to the arrangements for the assets. These assets shall be recognised in a local authority's balance sheet if they meet either the appropriate recognition criteria (see Chapter Four) for the local authority or for a school within the local authority area."*

CIPFA also issued LAAP Bulletin 101: *Accounting for Non-Current Assets Used by Local Authority Maintained Schools* in December 2014. The Bulletin provides application, clarification and interpretation but is secondary to the Code and accounting standards.

Due to the varied and sometimes complex arrangements for use of school land and buildings, the accounting treatment for these non-current assets will require the chief finance officer to make significant judgements in the preparation of the statement of accounts. These judgements should be based on the circumstances for individual schools and will involve consideration of the rights of the school as an entity and any rights held by the local authority. Judgements should be robust and well documented as auditors will be required to consider whether these are reasonable and supported by appropriate evidence. Local authorities should discuss and agree these judgements with Those Charged With Governance.

Other matters

The work required to identify and consider the arrangements over the use of schools may be significant and progress to date has been variable. Local authorities need to consider the resources required to identify and review arrangements and to undertake any necessary valuations. Good project management arrangements also need to be in place to ensure the requirements of the Code are met.

Accounting for schools – Code update and LAAP Bulletin 101

Accounting and audit issues

Treating a school as an entity means that local authorities are satisfied that, for each school, they have captured all the financial information relating to the school as an entity. This means all income and expenditure (including voluntary donations and related expenditure), cash flows and all assets and liabilities.

As part of their accounts preparations local authorities should ensure transactions between the local authority and schools are eliminated, all required disclosures are made and any appropriate governance matters are disclosed in the Annual Governance Statement.

A more detailed summary of the issues arising from the Code Update and LAAP Bulletin 101 is included within the Audit Committee papers for information.

Issues to Consider

- What progress has the Council made in making judgements on the accounting treatment of schools non-current assets on a case by case basis?
- Has the Council got arrangements in place to ensure the accounting requirements for schools are met?

Provision for Business Rates Appeals

Accounting and audit issues

Unlodged appeals

The Chancellor's Autumn Statement included a change to the rules relating to business rates appeals. As a result we do not expect to see any provisions for unlodged appeals in local authorities' 2014/15 accounts, although we will expect this to be re-considered for 2015/16 accounts.

The change restricts the backdating of Valuation Office Agency (VOA) alterations to rateable values. Only VOA alterations made before 1 April 2016 and ratepayers' appeals made before 1 April 2015 can now be backdated to the period between 1 April 2010 and 1 April 2015. The aim is to put authorities in the position as if the revaluation had been done in 2015 as initially intended, before the deadline was extended to 2017.

There may be some fluctuations in provisions at 31 March 2015 as unlodged appeals provisions are released. However, there may also be increased numbers of appeals lodged prior to 31 March 2015. These appeals may be more speculative in nature and therefore authorities may need to consider whether prior year assumptions remain valid in estimating their provisions.

Utilisation of provision

As part of the provisions disclosures in the accounts, local authorities need to disclose additional provisions made in the year, the amounts used (i.e. incurred and charged against the provision) during the year and unused amounts reversed during the year.

We understand that the software used for business rates may not provide values for the amounts charged against the provision during the year and that there is no simple software solution for this for 2014/15. Local authorities will need to consider available information and make an estimate of the amount for appeals settled in the year.

Issues to Consider

- Has the Council reassessed the methodology for making the business rates provision?
- Has the Council got arrangements in place for the estimation of appeals to be charged against the provision?

Inclusion of overtime in the calculation of holiday pay

Accounting and audit issues

The Employment Appeal Tribunal (EAT) has delivered its judgement on the extent to which overtime pay should be included in the calculation of holiday pay. This case stems from an apparent conflict between UK law and European Law.

The EAT found that non-guaranteed overtime (i.e. overtime, which is not guaranteed by the employer, but which the worker is obliged to work, if it is offered), should be included in the calculation of holiday pay. Back-dated claims can only be made if it is less than three months since the last incorrect payment of holiday pay.

It is likely that there will be an Appeal to this decision. However that does not mean that authorities should hold off assessing the impact. Local authorities should be considering their own circumstances and if necessary taking their own legal advice as to the extent they might be affected by the ruling. If an authority is going to be affected they need to assess whether the liability can be reliably measured.

For an authority likely to be affected in a material way, where it is possible to reliably measure that liability, then appropriate provision should be made in the 2014/15 accounts. The fact that the issue might go to Appeal at some uncertain time in the future is not of itself grounds for not including a provision. The chances of any success would need to be taken account of in the legal analysis but, in any case, there are some indications that the key issue on Appeal would be whether to remove the three month cap (if this were done then the provision would increase), rather than dismissing the entire decision to include overtime in the calculation of holiday pay.

Issue to Consider

- Has the Council assessed if a provision is required in the 2014/15 accounts?

Local government financial reporting remains strong

Local government guidance

The Audit Commission published its report, Auditing the Accounts 2013/14: Local Government Bodies in late December 2014.

Financial reporting was consistently strong for most types of principal local authority in 2013/14 when compared to the previous financial year. This year the Commission has congratulated 16 bodies where auditors were able to issue an unqualified opinion and a VFM conclusion on the 2013/14 accounts by 31 July 2014, and the body published audited accounts promptly. Although, as only 21 principal bodies have managed to publish their audited accounts by 31 July since 2008/09, a move to bring the accounts publication date forward is likely to cause significant challenges for the majority of public bodies.

The Commission reports that auditors were able to issue the audit opinion by 30 September 2014 at 99 per cent of councils, 90 per cent of fire and rescue authorities, 97 per cent of police bodies, all other local government bodies and 99 per cent of both parish councils and internal drainage boards. This is consistent with last year for most groups, but an improvement for councils and small bodies compared to 2012/13.

Eight principal authorities were listed where the auditor was unable to issue an opinion by the 30th September deadline.

Earlier closure and audit of accounts

Accounting and audit issues

Legislation was recently passed to bring forward the deadlines for the preparation and audit of Local Government financial statements from 2017/18 onwards. The timeframes for the preparation of the financial statements and their subsequent audit will be reduced by one month and two months respectively as follows:

- Deadline for preparation of financial statements – 31 May (currently 30 June)
- Deadline for audit completion – 31 July (currently 30 September)

Although July 2018 is over 3 years away, both local authorities and their auditors will have to make real changes in how they work to ensure they are 'match-fit' to achieve this deadline. This will require leadership from members and senior management.

Local government accountants and their auditors should start working on this now.

Top tips for local authorities:

- make preparation of the draft accounts and your audit a priority, investing appropriate resources to make it happen
- make the year end as close to 'normal' as possible by carrying out key steps each and every month
- discuss potential issues openly with auditors as they arise throughout the year
- agree key milestones, deadlines and response times with your auditor
- agree exactly what working papers are required.

Auditors are already working on bringing forward more testing to before the financial statements are prepared and will be discussing further changes with local authorities including greater use of estimates in the accounts which will enable the audits to be brought forward further.

Some authorities currently produce their financial statements ahead of the current deadline, or have plans to do so in 2014/15, and some audits are completed before 31 July.

We will be assessing how this has been achieved and will share our findings in a national report, expected in early 2016.

2020 Vision

Grant Thornton

In a time of unprecedented challenge for English local government, how can the sector develop towards 2020 if it is to have a sustainable future? Our latest report provides a thorough analysis of the current political and economic context, explores a range of potential policies and outcomes, and suggests several scenarios to facilitate an open debate on the future for the sector.

Produced in collaboration with the University of Birmingham's Institute for Local Government Studies (INLOGOV), our report suggests that fundamental changes to local government are both operationally necessary and constitutionally inevitable, for the sector to remain relevant by 2020. The report offers a thorough analysis of the current political and economic context and explores a range of potential future policies and outcomes that English local government will need to adopt and strive towards as they seek to adapt and overcome these challenges.

Placed in the context of enhanced devolution, following the Scottish independence referendum, 2020 Vision maintains a wary eye fixed on the 2015/16 Spending Round and looks ahead to the life time of the next government. It highlights that the economic and financial situation remains increasingly untenable, with an expanding North/South divide arising from the pattern of funding reductions and economic growth.

It highlights that English local authorities continue to face unprecedented challenges, relating to the pressures of austerity and central government funding reductions, and demographic and technological change. Our report highlights the vital role of a successful local government sector and encourages it to think hard about how it will cope in the future.

Informed by the views of a broad range of local authority leaders, chief executives and other sector stakeholders, the report offers a set of six forward-looking scenarios* in which councils could be operating within by 2020. Though not mutually exclusive, we suggest that key stakeholders need to take urgent action to avoid a potential slow and painful demise for some councils by 2020.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.

Rising to the challenge

Grant Thornton

Our national report, *Rising to the Challenge, the Evolution of Local Government* is the fourth in our series of annual reports on the financial health of local government. Like previous reports, it covers key indicators of financial performance, strategic financial planning, financial governance and financial control. It also includes case studies of best practice and a comparison to the NHS. This year it has been extended to use benchmarking information on savings plans and budget performance.


The overall message is a positive one. What stands out is how well local authorities have navigated the first period of austerity in the face of ever increasing funding, demographic and other challenges. Many authorities are forecasting financial resilience confidently in their medium term financial strategy. This reflects an evolution in financial management that would have been difficult to envisage in 2010.

However, there remains much to be achieved if the sector is to become sustainable in the long term, and authorities should consider if their:

- medium- to long-term strategy redefines the role of the authority creatively
- operational environment will adapt, working in partnership with other authorities and local organisations
- strategy looks beyond the traditional two- to three-year resource planning horizon
- organisational culture is aligned to where the authority needs to be in the medium to long term
- senior leadership teams – both officers and members – have the necessary skills and capacity to ensure delivery against the medium-term challenges
- corporate governance arrangements ensure effective oversight and scrutiny of the organisation as it adapts to the challenges it faces.

The importance of these actions will be magnified if local government devolves further, particularly in relation to fiscal devolution. The new-found confidence of local government in responding to the medium-term challenges will be tested significantly by the second phase of austerity.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead.



All Aboard? - Local Government Governance Review 2015

Grant Thornton

Our fourth annual review of local government was recently published.

We note that the challenges faced by local authorities are intensifying as austerity and funding reductions combine with demographic pressures and technological changes to create a potential threat to the long-term sustainability to some organisations. Maintaining effective governance is becoming ever more complex and increasingly important.

Against this background we have focused this year's review on three key areas:

Governance of the organisation – the main area of concern highlighted in this year's governance survey is the level of dissatisfaction with the scrutiny process.

Governance in working with others – there is an urgent need for scrutiny to exercise good governance over the complex array of partnerships in which local authorities are now involved. Boundary issues notwithstanding, by 'shining a light' on contracted-out activities and joint operations or ventures, scrutiny committees can bring a new level of transparency and accountability to these areas

Governance of stakeholder relations – despite the work that a number of local authorities are doing with the public on 'co-production', almost a third of respondents to our survey did not think their organisation actively involves service users in designing the future scope and delivery of its services.

We conclude that local authorities need to ensure that their core objectives and values are fulfilled through Many other agencies . This implies a greater role for scrutiny and a need to make sure local public sector Bodies' arrangements are a transparent as possible for stakeholders.

Hard copies of our report are available from your Engagement Lead or Audit Manager.





© 2013 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

grant.thornton.co.uk